

**State Agency GIS (SAG) Meeting  
November 19, 2009**

ITEM	DISCUSSION	STATUS/ACTION
<b>Attendance</b>	Attendees: Tim Haithcoat, Alexis Gardner, Colin Duewell, Renee Robinson, Jeff Schloss, Debbie Briedwell, Beth Struempf	Call to order at 1:10 p.m.
<b>Location</b>	Harry S Truman Building, Room 280A	
<b>Identify someone to take minutes</b>		Beth Struempf
<b>Approval of minutes</b>	August and September minutes are posted to the GIO web site.  October minutes were approved.	Debbie will submit to be posted to GIO web site.
<b>General Business</b>		
<b>Additions and review action items from previous meeting</b>	The issue with the imagery in the MARC region has been documented in a technical support incident with ESRI. Jeff believes they have been able to replicate the problem per communications earlier this week.  GIO Plan – Tim has made changes to the GIO Plan after comments submitted by members of the SAG Forum and the MGISAC.	Unresolved.  Tim hopes to have a revised plan out to the group by Nov. 25 <sup>th</sup> for another review and then will present it to the MGISAC for review.
<b>Meetings Reports</b>		
<b>CIO report</b>	Tim will be meeting with the new CIO, Doug Young, soon. Tim asked the group if you had a ½ hour with the CIO, what would be your top three items to discuss.	Items voiced by several members: 1. Data Server – storage/maintenance plan 2. Staff Support 3. Financial Support 4. Full-time GIO position 5. Yearly Budget
<b>Senate Bill 384 Follow-up meeting with MSPS</b>	Tim is trying to get a copy of the “new” language of the bill to be submitted this next session.	Tim – as stated.
<b>NASCIO-CIO Priorities November 18, 2009 Review</b>	Tim shared the top 3 of 10 Priority Strategies, Management Processes and Solutions: 1. Budget and Cost Control. 2. Consolidation 3. Shared Services  For the category Priority Technologies, Applications and Tools; Geospatial analysis and GIS ranked number 7 of the 10.	Post meeting note – More information may be found by visiting <a href="http://oa.mo.gov/itsd/cio/">http://oa.mo.gov/itsd/cio/</a> . Click on NASCIO quick link.
<b>Projects &amp; Potential Projects</b>		
<b>Missouri Broadband Mapping</b>	Fiscal accountability – the state will receive the funds and pass them on to the University to gather data from community anchor points. These anchor points (possibly fire stations, courts, law agencies, etc.) will report their signal strength/speeds back to the University. Anchor points have yet to be defined. This portion has a target completion date of Feb 9, 2010. MU will mail paper maps created in MapQuest to the anchor point facilities and have them verify if the location is correct. There are 17 counties that do not have a 911 system. Trying to	

	use some of the funds to assist getting these counties up to speed with point-based address data.	
<b>Stimulus Tracker Application</b>	<p>Tim asked Jeff to give a brief summary of a meeting he had with Chris Wilkerson. Jeff was tasked to provide GIS services hosted at SDC for the Stimulus Tracker application using ESRI's StateState flex viewer. ESRI is hosting the locator and basemap services and the SDC is hosting the geography and geometry services. Jeff pointed out that Debbie Briedwell and Tracy Schloss were key partners in making this a success, along with SDC staff. He also stated that the tracking data was not precisely point specific for each dollar spent. It gave only a general location of where the dollars were spent.</p> <p>Tim suggested that this was an example of a business case that a GIO office could provide in the future. Because GIS staff were tasked to provide these services at the request of the CIO's office, it took a considerable amount of time away from their regular duties and at short notice. Jeff welcomed the acknowledgement of the need for a GIO office with central staff to support this type of request.</p>	
<b>LIDAR Proposal</b>	USGS put out a call for proposals. Tim is putting a project together with Arkansas and Tennessee for the New Madrid area.	
<b>CAP Proposal(s)</b>	<p>Homeland Security Data Model – Federal money available; likely release in March.</p> <p>State of Indiana showed a \$1.2 million return on investment for their proposal.</p>	Tim will follow up with Debbie about this.
<b>USGS Partnership Funds</b>	<p>Tim advised that USGS has a fund (about \$ 1 million) that they will partner with a liaison from another agency to write proposals against. In light of the problem Renee reported above, she would like to partner with USGS in the next update cycle to integrate state data. Right now USGS only provides the image and road data.</p> <p>Renee commented that she ran into a wall trying to add data to the GeoPDF's from USGS. When she contacted them, she did not receive any support from them to accommodate her request.</p>	Renee will contact Ray for more details on when these funds will be available and how to apply.

Missouri Office Geospatial Information Plan		
	<p>As briefly stated at the beginning of the meeting, Tim is re-writing some of the proposals in his GIO Office Plan based on comments received –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need to clarify GIS data vs. GIS services.</li> <li>• It was sounding more like a “consolidation” of GIS.</li> <li>• SDC does not have the “spatial” knowledge needed for ArcGIS services, so is this something the GIO office takes on?</li> <li>• Proposing a three-person staff – GIO, GIS Specialist, and Database Administrator.</li> <li>• Take out the flexible FTE and describe a student intern better.</li> </ul> <p>Discussion -</p> <p>Jeff recommended taking a look at the “Consolidation 2.0” organizational chart which reflects a plan to move application development and database functions back to the agencies. GIS resources are not shown in the organizational chart.</p> <p>Central Server – Tim mentioned the central server would have a steward and other agency specific data would not be stored on the central server.</p> <p>Discussion on how a “public facing” interface be served – perhaps making a separate interface for Internet and Intranet access. Security issues need to be addressed.</p>	
State Data Center – GIS Server/Enterprise GIS Plan		
<b>Storage for Imagery</b>	Storage has already reached capacity. Need to find money to acquire more storage. Tim recalled a quote of \$10k which Renee and others expressed it seemed overpriced for 2 terabytes of storage.	Tim will clarify what is included; initial storage, back-up, maintenance, etc.
<b>Data Server</b>	Format – mostly shapefiles. SDC is not set up.	
<b>ESRI Architecture Seminar</b>	ESRI will be hosting a seminar in St. Charles. If interested, but unable to attend, there is a downloadable white paper on the ESRI web site or you can purchase the book <i>Building a GIS</i> , by Dave Peters.	Tim will find out more details (when/cost) and get the information out to the group.
Next Meeting		
Thursday, December 19, 2009 - 1 p.m. – 3 p.m. Missouri Office of Administration, Harry S Truman Building 301 West High Street, Room 280-A Jefferson City, Missouri 65101		Meeting Adjourned – 2:50 p.m.